## Remarks

Applicants have carefully the Office Action dated July 12, 2004. Claims 1-86 are pending.

In the Office Action, the Examiner restricted the application to Inventions I and II. Applicants hereby elect without traverse to prosecute the species of Invention I corresponding to claims 1-10 and 84. This species is disclosed in Figures 1A-1H and the corresponding parts of the specification. In the Office Action, figures 1A-1E are described as corresponding to one species and figures 1F-1H are described as corresponding to another species. However, as can be ascertained by reference to the specification—for example, in the Brief Description of the Drawings found on page 9, line 15 through page 10, line 2—Figures 1A-1H depict the same embodiment and consequently the same species. Applicants therefore submit that the selection of the species corresponding to Figures 1A-1H is fully responsive.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested. It is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, issuance of a Notice of Allowance in due course is requested. If a telephone conference might be of assistance, please contact the undersigned attorney at (612) 677-9050.

Respectfully submitted,

W. MARTIN BELEF

By his Attorney,

Date: Ang. 9 1000

Glenn M. Seager, Reg. No. 36,926

CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFFE, LLC

1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 800

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420

Tel: (612) 677-9050

Page 18 of 18